Case 1:08-cv-00767-AKH Docume	ent 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 1 of 11	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)	
DOMINICK RAPPA AND MARIA E RAPPA	DOCKET NO.	
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT	
- against -	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	JURY	
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,		
Defendants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hel 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints	llerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.	
NOTIC	CE OF ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked with an ' $\square$ '' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.		

Plaintiffs, DOMINICK RAPPA AND MARIA E RAPPA, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

## I. PARTIES

#### A. PLAINTIFF(S)

CILIZOI	n of New York residing at 243	9 Swanson Place, Bellin	iore, N i 11/10	
		(OR)		
2.	Alternatively, $\square$	is the	of Decedent	
	, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of	

Cas	se 1:08-cv-00767-AKH Document	1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 2 of 11
3. York residing Injured Plainti	at 2439 Swanson Place, Bellmore, NY  iff:  SPOUSE at all relevant times h  DOMINICK RAPPA, and brin  injuries sustained by her husban	er the "Derivative Plaintiff'), is a citizen of New 11710-, and has the following relationship to the therein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff the age this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the nd (his wife), Plaintiff DOMINICK RAPPA. Other:
4.	In the period from 9/15/2001 to 9/16/2	001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Consolidated
Edison of Nev	v York, Inc. as a Spec. Inspector at:	·
P	Please be as specific as possible when fil	lling in the following dates and locations
Location(s) (i.  From on or ab Approximately Approximately From on or ab Approximately Approximately Approximately Approximately Embedding The Fresh From on or ab	York City Medical Examiner's Office  out until,  y hours per day; for  y days total.  Examiner's Office  out,  until,  with the second continuous per day; for  y days total.  Example 2. In the second continuous per day; for  y days total.  Example 2. In the second continuous per day; for  y days total.	The Barge  From on or about until;  Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
	is information on a separate sheet of particular of the separate sheet sheet of the separate sheet sheet of the separate sheet of the separate sheet sheet of the separate sheet sheet	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.
	above;	oxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
		or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

6.

Injure	ed Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $$40101$ , the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

#### B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
$\square$ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
$\square$ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	lacktriangle BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the PORT AUTHORITY has	$\square$ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
•	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EN-TECH CORP
5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☐ OTHER:

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

### 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	•
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

# Case 1:08-cv-00767-AKH Document 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

✓ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ✓; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☐ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has				
remo	removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.			
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION	
of lial			d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive	
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation	
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>	
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ☑ Other(specify): Not yet determined	
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death	
		<b>V</b>	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff	
		П	Other:	

## Case 1:08-cv-00767-AKH Document 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.

Cancer Injury: N/A.

retirement benefits

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

☑ Other: Not yet determined.

rehabilitation

Other:

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

Expenses for medical care, treatment, and

Date of oncet

		Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:			Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
•	✓	Respiratory Injury: Cough; Shortness of Breath; Sinus Problems Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
•		Digestive Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to  WTC work:		V	Other Injury: Sleeping Problems Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.  2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:					
	<u>√</u>	Pain and suffering			
	$\checkmark$	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
	<b>√</b>	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity			
	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of			

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York January 4, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Dominick Rappa and Maria E

Rappa

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice

in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED:

New York, New York

January 4, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
===	DOMINICK RAPPA (AND WIFE, MARIA E RAPPA),
	Plaintiff(s)
	- against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
===	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP  Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s)  Office and Post Office Address, Telephone  115 Broadway - 12th Floor  New York, New York 10006  (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.  Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
===	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
	☐ NOTICE OF ENTRY  that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	That an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M.  Dated,
	Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP